UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                       | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/568,982  | 02/21/2006         | Jeffery S. Bradley   | 63036A              | 8860             |
| 29423 7590 03/13/2008<br>Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek S.C. |                    |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 555 East Wells  | Street, Suite 1900 | LU, C CAIXIA         |                     |                  |
| Milwaukee, WI 53202                                   |                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                    |                      | 1796                |                  |
|   |                    |                      | 141W D.100          | DEL MEDITA CODE  |
|   |                    |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                    |                      | 03/13/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)      |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/568,982  | BRADLEY ET AL.    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit          |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Caixia Lu   | 1796              |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ja</u>   | nuarv 2007.   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | action is non-final.  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.               |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-18 and 21</u> is/are pending in the a  | application.  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14 is/are withdrawn  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.                                      |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,15-18 and 21</u> is/are rejected.  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]   | 4) ☐ Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1  | , 🗀   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/568,982 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-2, 4-5, 15-18, and 21 in the reply filed on January 25, 2008 is acknowledged.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 15-18, and 21 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 7-13, and 16-20 of copending Application No. 10/568,931. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are directed to substantially similar catalyst compositions for propylene polymerization.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,982 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed July 19, 2007 are noted. It was the examiner position that the combined teaching of Rebhan and Seeger suggest the SCA of the instant claims in the previous as shown in the previous Office Action. However, applicants have disclosed that the catalyst compositions comprising the specified SCA provide unexpected increase of catalytic activities compared to those of the cited prior art in Table 1 in the specification. Therefore, the instant claims are deemed to be novel and nonobvious over the cited prior art.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/568,982 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

/Caixia Lu/ Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner